W1 Out of Session Review Committee Record - Meeting 26 dated 14th October 2020

| No. | Submission No Property and Issue No. Landholder Requests | NRAR Recommendation. Follow up clarification if requested by committee in bold. | HRC member: | HRC member: | HRC member: | HRC member: |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| 1. | 1. FPH11 request to change from 350mm with a flow rate of 25ML to 400mm with a flow rate of 35ML | Recommend: 1. Amending FPH11 from 350mm pump to 400mm pump and increase flow rate from 25ML/day to 35ML day. | 1. Endorse: Y or N Y | Is photo of model no. adequate evidence? NRAR response: Correct – the landholder is not questioning the capacity of the pump that is installed just the size. It was incorrectly sized by NRAR. Hence there is no requirement or need to source supporting information from the supplier or a consultant re pump Capacity. Yes - the model number is adequate evidence to verify that during inspection the incorrect pump size was mapped Evidence criteria which relates to the need for a consultant report or evidence from pump supplier is in relation to upgrade in pump capacity. The claimed pump capacity is well within the Depts nominal pump capacity range. | 1. Endorse: Y or N Y | 1. Endorse: Y or N Y |

| No. | Submission No Property and Issue No. Landholder Requests | NRAR Recommendation. Follow up clarification if requested by committee in bold. | HRC member: | HRC member: | HRC member: | HRC member: |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| 2. | 1. Discrepancies identified in OFS. Seeking an increase in storage size from SBM volume of 800ML to 919ML. | Recommend: 1. FPH1 - Amend storage volume 800ML to 919ML, an increase of 119ML | 1. Endorse: Y or N Y | 1. Endorse: Y or N Y | 1. Endorse: Y or N Y | 1. Endorse: Y or N Y |
| | 2. Temporary storage increase from SBM volume of 20ML from 40ML to 60ML with a 0.5 metre freeboard. | Recommend: 2. FPH2 temporary storage from 40ML to 60ML with 0.5m freeboard, an increase of 20ML. | 2. Endorse: Y or N Y | 2. Endorse: Y or N Y Request: Additional desktop information that NRAR used to increase the volume of temporary storage as no evidence from landholder. Initial NRAR Response: Area of surge is 29.5 Ha in total TOB 163.9 (163.9 - 164.4) with NS at 162.8 (163.6 - 162.7) Hence TWL of 163.4 with 0.5m freeboard provides an area of 21 Ha of inundation at average depth of 0.3m = 60 ML. | 2. Endorse: Y or N Y | 2. Endorse: Y or N Y |

| No. | Submission No Property and Issue No. Landholder Requests | NRAR Recommendation. Follow up clarification if requested by committee in bold. | HRC member: | HRC member: | HRC member: | HRC member: |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| 3. | 1. Requesting an increase in storage size from SBM volume of 60 ML to 408 ML and exten sion to the currently mapped OFS as well as inclusion of the western section along with already mapped pipe. | Withdrawn by DPIE-W on 14 October 2020 until temporary storage information can be provided to committee. | | The map provided indicates that total is 140 ML with 32 ML dead storage. The paperwork calls this a temporary storage. I need some more clarification on the recommendation. NRAR response — note additional information to be provided. Yes. The permanent OFS that is not mapped or evident is a storage that was constructed after 2008, hence it is not eligible and therefore not mapped as an eligible work. It is a permanent storage Unsure of which paperwork references this as temporary storage unless initial description of Surge relates to temp storage. With the supported increase in volume and inclusion of western section along with control pipe the storage is now a permanent storage The submission relates to: a. Increasing the extent of the eastern section as well as b. inclusion of the western section c. inclusion of the connecting pipe which has already been mapped and confirmed by NRAR during inspection. | | |

| No | Submission No Property and Issue No. Landholder Requests | NRAR Recommendation. Follow up clarification if requested by committee in bold. | HRC member: | HRC member: | HRC member: | HRC member: |
|----|----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| 4. | M060 | Recommend: | 1. Endorse: Y or N | 1. Endorse: Y or N | 1. Endorse: Y or N | 1. Endorse: Y or N |
| | 1. Contesting storage volume FPH1, FPH2 & FPH3. | FPH1 – increase volume from 1180ML to 1237ML, an increase of 57ML FPH2 – increase volume from 920ML to 1012ML, an increase of 92ML FPH3 – increase volume from 170ML to 212ML, an increase of 42ML An increase of 191 ML OFS capacity for eligible works for the property from 2270 ML to 2461ML. | Y | Noting that for OFS3 landholder requested 20ML. The evidence for new pump does not provide lat/long as specified in criteria. There is also a question around use of pump for FPH. NRAR Response: Correct. Lat / Long coordinates have been provided by NRAR as the location was confirmed by landholder as being adjacent already mapped pipe FPH 6 Landholder verbally confirmed that pump takes from channel (FPH 25) which is primarily a TWR but can also intercept overland flows in large floods and transfers into OFS 2 N.B. This channel was overlooked by NRAR and landholder during eligibility determination (image provided in email). | Y | Y |

| No. | Submission No Property and Issue No. Landholder Requests | NRAR Recommendation. Follow up clarification if requested by committee in bold. | HRC member: | HRC member: | HRC member: | HRC member: |
|-----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | 2. Requesting amendment of pump size and flow rate FPH22 & FPH23. Requesting additional pump | Recommend: 2. FPH22 – amend pump from 350mm to 406mm (16") centrifugal pump flow rate 31 ML/day FPH23 – amend pump from 300mm to 400mm (16") centrifugal pump flow rate 31 ML/day FPH6 - include additional 500mm pump on eligible works and WSWA with flow rate of 65ML/day. | 2. Endorse: Y or N Y |
| | 3. Requesting amendment of pipe end FPH13 & FPH16 | Recommend: Pipes FPH13 and FPH16 be noted as gated pipes, not open ended. | 3. Endorse: Y or N Y |
| | 4. Requesting addition of channel. | Recommend: 4. Inclusion of channel and associated pipe on WSWA only not eligible works. | 4. Endorse: Y or N Y |